	Case 3:10-cv-00107-LRH-WGC Document 30 Filed 08/08/11 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
8	* * * *
9	RALPH COUNTRYMAN,)
10	Plaintiff, 3:10-cv-00107-LRH-RAM
11	v.)) ORDER
12	STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,
13	Defendants.
14	,
15	Before this Court is the Report and Recommendation of U.S. Magistrate Judge Robert A.
16	McQuaid, Jr. (#29¹) entered on June 27, 2011, recommending granting in part and denying in part
17	Defendant's Partial Motion to Dismiss (#16) filed on January 18, 2011. No objection to the Report and
18	Recommendation has been filed. The action was referred to the Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28
19	U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)B and Local Rule 1B 1-4 of the Rules of Practice of the United States District Court
20	for the District of Nevada.
21	The Court has conducted its <i>de novo</i> review in this case, has fully considered the pleadings and
22	memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1) (B)
23	and Local Rule IB 3-2. The Court determines that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation
24	(#29) entered on June 27, 2011, should be adopted and accepted.
25	
26	¹ Refers to court's docket number.

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#29)
2	entered on June 27, 2011, is adopted and accepted, and Defendant's Partial Motion to Dismiss (#16)
3	is GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART as follows:
4	• The motion to dismiss as to Plaintiff's claim in Count II that Defendants violated his due process
5	rights in connection with his placement in disciplinary segregation is DENIED;
6	The motion to dismiss with prejudice as to Plaintiff's claim in Count II that Defendants violated
7	his due process rights by depriving him of his prison job, pay, and by depriving him of his
8	preferred housing assignment is GRANTED;
9	• The motion to dismiss with prejudice as to Plaintiff's claim in Count II that Defendants violated
10	his due process rights as a result of the processing of his disciplinary appeal is GRANTED;
11	The motion to dismiss without prejudice as to Plaintiff's claims under the First Amendment and
12	RLUIPA in Count IV is GRANTED;
13	The motion to dismiss as to Defendant Stewart is DENIED; and
14	• The motion to dismiss with prejudice as to all claims asserted against Defendant Skolnik is
15	GRANTED.
16	
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.
18	DATED this 8th day of August, 2011.
19	LARRY R. HICKS
20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	2